

## **U.S. Department of State and U.S. Embassy in Sweden effectually bans Torsten from visiting U.S.A. forever.**

U.S. Embassy, Stockholm, Sweden: <http://stockholm.usembassy.gov/irc.html>  
U.S. Department of State: <http://www.state.gov>

On May 31, 2011 I was detained and imprisoned by the Canadian government (through cbsa-asfc.gc.ca), and on June 1, 2011 I was deported from Canada (<http://www.nenzen.net/TN-to-CBSA-110707.pdf>) into U.S.A. I am not permitted to visit Canada again.

On August 29, 2011 the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (through cbp.gov) sent to me an email informing that CBP had cancelled my authorization to travel to United States under the Visa Waiver Program (ESTA).

On September 12, 2011, the Embassy of the United States of America in Stockholm decided to not issue a tourist visa to me. This decision from the US Embassy, that I am not eligible for a tourist visa, succeeded after a brief (5-minute) interview where the US consular section interviewer focused the questions towards two specific areas: 1) Why were you deported from Canada on June 1, 2011?, and 2) How can you afford to travel so much? The choice of questions asked by the US Embassy, pertaining to the deportation from Canada, indicates that the US Embassy was either sloppy or ill-informed. And the questions pertaining to my ability to finance my travels is blatant evidence of the US Embassy's neglectfulness. Attached with my tourist visa application were print-outs and originals of my bank statements, and proof of my monthly income during the intended time of travel. The documents which were submitted to the US Embassy proved that I have sufficient savings, with an adequate income each month while I travel. And yet, the embassy asked questions about my ability to finance my travel.

At the abrupt ending of the brief (5-minute) interview in the US Embassy, the interviewer hastily slipped over a piece of paper to me (copy attached beneath), followed directly by a return of my (possibly unread) application together with my attached substantiating documents.

Given the categorical explanation from the US Embassy that I have weak family and social ties to Sweden, and that a reapplication for a tourist visa must show stronger social or family ties to Sweden, in practice it means that I can never again in my life visit USA as a tourist. The ties that the US Embassy is looking for are stronger ties to Sweden. That will never happen. Since it is impossible for me to strengthen my social ties to Sweden (excommunicated from Swedish churches and ostracised from Swedish society), and since I have no family in Sweden, this decision in reality necessitates, (if the American Embassy means what they say), that **I am banned from entering USA as a tourist forever**. This is a tragedy for me, as Canada and USA are my cultural home territories, and United States (statistically) offers the greatest potential to connect with other Christians/Messianics.

I have now been excommunicated and ostracised in Sweden for almost a decade ([http://www.kyrkor.be/Inhumane\\_Swedish\\_Pastors.pdf](http://www.kyrkor.be/Inhumane_Swedish_Pastors.pdf)), and 2011 turns out to be the year that I am banned from North America.

Currently I have no possibility to worship with a Christian/Messianic congregation, no possibility to fellowship in a Christian/Messianic community, no possibility to develop Christian/Messianic relationships, and no possibility to marry a Christian/Messianic woman. Sweden truly is a loveless, Christless and inhumane circumstance.

I am insufficiently Swedish to be accepted and integrated in Sweden, and I am insufficiently Swedish to be allowed to visit North America.

In practice, I am to a large extent stateless.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov)  
**To:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)  
**Sent:** Monday, August 29, 2011 4:35 PM  
**Subject:** ESTA Status Change

There has been an update to your ESTA Travel Authorization Status submitted on October 8, 2010. Please visit <https://esta.cbp.dhs.gov/esta> to check your application.

ESTA Program Office  
<https://esta.cbp.dhs.gov/esta>  
[www.cbp.gov/esta](http://www.cbp.gov/esta)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** CBP INFO Center<[customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com](mailto:customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com)>  
**To:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)  
**Sent:** Monday, August 29, 2011 4:50 PM  
**Subject:** CBP.

Recently you requested personal assistance from our on-line support center. Below is a summary of your request and our response. If this issue is not resolved to your satisfaction, you may reopen it within the next 0 days. Thank you for allowing us to be of service to you.

#### **Subject**

CBP. Hi! Is there a maximum number of entries into USA on the ESTA? Or, can I ...

#### **Discussion Thread**

##### **Response (Officer Best)**

08/29/2011 10:50 AM

Hello,

The visa waiver program is for short trips to the United States not to exceed 90 days. It appears as if you are attempting to circumvent immigration rules and regulations under the visa waiver program. You may want to contact the US Embassy for further information.

## Travel Not Authorized

You are not authorized to travel to the United States under the Visa Waiver Program. You may be able to obtain a visa from the Department of State for your travel. Please visit the United States Department of State website at [www.travel.state.gov](http://www.travel.state.gov) for additional information about applying for a visa.

DHS recommends you print this screen for your records.



You may exit this site or submit an application for another traveler at this time.

### Application Number

[Redacted Application Number]

### Passport Number

81007722

### Passport Issuing Country

SWEDEN

### Payment Receipt

Payment Date	October 8, 2010
Payment Tracking Code	851226
<b>Payment Received</b>	<b>\$14.00</b>

Exit



**EMBASSY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

Stockholm, 2011-09-12

We regret to inform you that based upon the information in your visa application and any documents you may have presented, you have been found ineligible for a nonimmigrant visa under Section 214 (b) of the U.S. Immigration and Nationality Act.

Persons wishing to enter the United States as temporary visitors must show proof of permanent ties to a foreign country that they have no intention of abandoning. Each visa applicant has the burden of proving that he or she is eligible to receive a Nonimmigrant Visa.

In your case the consular officer determined that Section 214(b) applies because:

- Your status in Sweden is temporary. Applicants are advised that they will have the best chance of qualifying for a visa in the country where they normally reside, since documenting sufficiently strong family, social and economic ties to their country of residence might be extremely difficult while abroad.
- The information in your application does not show that your family and social or economic ties to your place of residence are strong enough to guarantee that your stay in the United States will be temporary.
- You have not convinced a consular officer that your plans for going to the United States are in accordance with the specific requirement for \_\_\_\_\_ nonimmigrant status as a \_\_\_\_\_.

Your application has been carefully examined. No further consideration can be given your visa application. You may reapply at a later date provided you have new information or documentation to present. A new application will require you to pay the visa application fee again.

Please note that citizens of Visa Waiver countries who have been denied a visa may not travel on the Visa Waiver Program and must apply for a visa for any future travel to the United States.

Sincerely,  
Consular Officer

Stockholm 2011-09-08

TO: Embassy of the United States of America  
Dag Hammarskjölds Väg 31  
SE-115 89 Stockholm  
Sweden

FROM: Torsten Nenzén  
Magnusvägen 6A  
17731 Järfälla  
Sweden

### **Travel Plan and Letter**

I intend to visit New York City. I would like to arrive in NYC before September 28, 2011.

One of the reasons for my visit to NYC is to worship and study the Bible together with a Messianic congregation. The Messianic congregation Kehilath HaDerekh on West 72<sup>nd</sup> Street on Manhattan is a congregation with a leadership that I respect, and therefore I want to learn specifically from there.


Another reason for my visit is to socialise with other followers of Jesus Christ in Christian churches or Messianic congregations.

I would like to remain in USA for 90 days until December 23, 2011.

At the end of December 2011 I will have a biannual medical exam at a hospital in Stockholm, Sweden.

I have access to sufficient funds in Swedish banks through financial instruments such as VISA cards, and additionally I have a regular monthly income during this planned time of tourism to sustain my expenditures while I am in USA.

Best regards,



Torsten Nenzen

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Reb Benzi

**To:** [stknivinfo@state.gov](mailto:stknivinfo@state.gov)

**Cc:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

**Sent:** Thursday, September 08, 2011 9:09 PM

**Subject:** Invitation to Torsten Nenzen of Sweden from Reb Benzi of Kehilath HaDerekh, NYC

*B"H*

8 September 2011  
9 Elul 5771

To whom it may concern  
American Embassy in Stockholm, Sweden

Shalom,

I am the congregational leader of a Messianic congregation in New York City named Kehilath HaDerekh (congregation of the way). Torsten Nenzen has regularly visited our congregation these past months of July and August during our Saturday services and at our Thursday evening Bible studies. We have grown fond of him, and would love to invite him back to New York.

Before his departure back to Sweden, Torsten expressed that he intended to return to our community in time to observe Rosh Hashanah at the end of September, and for other observances in our congregation in October. Torsten has informed me that he would like a formal invitation as to hopefully make it easier for him to return to USA on a Tourist Visa. So please consider this email our invitation. Should you require a fax of a letter on letterhead or a pdf for the Invitation, please let me know.

I look forward to seeing Torsten Nenzen visit our congregation again soon. I would like to see him in worship, fellowship and continuing the study of the Bible with us.

Blessings,

Reb Bentzion Halevi  
Rosh Kehilah

Attachment: Torsten's Tourist Visa Application (DS-160)

<http://MessianicNewYork.org>  
+ 1 (917) 670-8989

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

**To:** [stockholmirc@state.gov](mailto:stockholmirc@state.gov) ; [customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com](mailto:customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com) ; [ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov)

**Sent:** Sunday, September 18, 2011 3:15 PM

**Subject:** Requesting an explanation.

To: U.S. Department of State  
American Embassy in Stockholm

Hi.

I want to know the United States rationale for the U.S. Department of State decision to effectually ban me from entering U.S.A. as a tourist forever.

Related documents: <http://www.kyrkor.be/USA-Embassy-Stockholm-decision-110912.pdf>

Regards,

Torsten Nenzén

Address: Magnusvagen 6A  
17731 Jarfalla  
Sweden

Email: [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

Mobile: +46 707 777754

Skype: blesisrael

[www.nenzen.net](http://www.nenzen.net)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Westergren, Per A](#)

**To:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

**Sent:** Thursday, September 22, 2011 9:45 AM

**Subject:** Re: Requesting an explanation

Dear Mr. Nenzen,

The reason you were not given a B1/B2 visa was explained in the letter you received at the end of your interview.

You are welcome to apply again. The application process is the same as last time. However, this second time you will be interviewed by a different officer.

Best regards,

Non-immigrant Visa Unit  
Embassy of the United States of America  
Dag Hammarskjölds Väg 31  
SE-115 89 Stockholm Sweden  
Fax +46 8 660 58 79

Anyone is welcome to apply for a visa at the Embassy in Stockholm. We are prohibited by law from speculating on the outcome of future visa applications.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

**To:** [Westergrenpa@state.gov](mailto:Westergrenpa@state.gov) ; [stockholmirc@state.gov](mailto:stockholmirc@state.gov) ; [customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com](mailto:customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com) ; [ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov)

**Sent:** Thursday, September 22, 2011 2:54 PM

**Subject:** Requesting a real explanation.

To: Foreign Service National, Per Westergren  
American Embassy in Stockholm  
U.S. Department of State

Hi.

Thank-you for responding to my inquiry.

In your email dated September 22, 2011, you provide no additional information that could be considered as explanatory. My inquiry addressed to the American Embassy in Stockholm and to the U.S. Department of State on September 18, 2011 was:

*"I want to know the United States rationale for the U.S. Department of State decision to effectually ban me from entering U.S.A. as a tourist forever."*

Based on all the information provided from the U.S. Embassy in Stockholm and the State Department combined, there is no provision of relevant rationale or real explanation. Based on the categorical information provided from the U.S. Embassy in Stockholm at the end of the interview on September 12, 2011, in perspective of the documents attached and accepted with my application inside the Embassy compound, and in perspective of further elucidation through the provided online link in conjunction with my later inquiry of explanation of decision rationale (<http://www.kyrkor.be/USA-Embassy-Stockholm-decision-110912.pdf>), one cannot conclude differently that I am effectually banned forever from entering United States as a tourist.

The letter provided by the U.S. Embassy at the end of the interview declares: 1) *"The information in your application does not show that your family and social or economic ties to your place of residence are strong enough to guarantee that your stay in the United States will be temporary."*, and 2) *"You may reapply at a later date provided [condition!] you have new information or documentation to present."*

I explained:

*"Given the categorical explanation from the U.S. Embassy that I have weak family and social ties to Sweden, and that a reapplication for a tourist visa must show stronger social or family ties to Sweden, in practice it means that I can never again in my life visit USA as a tourist. The ties that the US Embassy is looking for are stronger ties to Sweden. That will never happen. Since it is impossible for me to strengthen my social ties to Sweden, and since I have no family in Sweden, this decision in reality **necessitates**, (if the American Embassy means what they say), that I am banned from entering USA as a tourist forever."*

The U.S. Embassy clearly states a condition for reapplication; that of presentation of new information or documentation. I have no additional information or documentation to strengthen social or family ties to Sweden, and I never will have. This is because I never can, and therefore never will, have stronger social or family ties to Sweden. This is also precisely the reason why I am requesting from the United States a real explanation, or relevant rationale.

Are the two decisions, from the U.S. Department of State and from the U.S. Embassy in Stockholm, intending to ban me from visiting United States



forever? If not, then the condition for reapplication must be individually modified. With the currently stated condition for reapplication I cannot reapply.

I ask again please; What is the real reason that the U.S. Department of State has determined that I am not welcome as a tourist to United States? And if there is no justifiable reason, then how can the American Embassy decision be annulled given the Embassy's condition for reapplication?

Regards,

Torsten Nenzén  
Address: Magnusvagen 6A  
17731 Jarfalla  
Sweden  
Email: torsten@nenzen.net  
Mobile: +46 707 777754  
Skype: blessisrael  
www.nenzen.net

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Stockholm, NIV Info

**To:** torsten@nenzen.net

**Sent:** Thursday, September 22, 2011 3:29 PM

**Subject:** RE: Requesting a real explanation.

Dear Mr. Nenzen,

As stated in the letter you received, your ties are not strong enough to guarantee that you will return to Sweden, a necessity for us to issue a tourist visa. Following text is copied from the Foreign Affairs Manual (which can be found here: <http://www.state.gov/m/a/dir/regs/fam/09fam/index.htm> ) in regards to tourist visa applications:

9 FAM 41.31 N3.4 Ties Abroad

The applicant must demonstrate permanent employment, meaningful business or financial connections, close family ties, or social or cultural associations, which will indicate a strong inducement to return to the country of origin.

Perhaps you can speak with your organization in the US in order for them to help you get a proper work visa.

Best regards,

Non-immigrant Visa Unit  
Embassy of the United States of America  
Dag Hammarskjölds Väg 31  
SE-115 89 Stockholm Sweden  
Fax +46 8 660 58 79

Anyone is welcome to apply for a visa at the Embassy in Stockholm. We are prohibited by law from speculating on the outcome of future visa applications.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

**To:** [stockholmirc@state.gov](mailto:stockholmirc@state.gov) ; [customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com](mailto:customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com) ; [ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov)

**Sent:** Friday, September 23, 2011 3:53 AM

**Subject:** U.S. State Department decision -- arbitrary and unreasonable?

To: American Embassy in Stockholm  
U.S. Department of State

Hi.

Thank-you again for responding to my inquiry.

In your response you categorically confirm, without further explanation, that the U.S. Department of State and the U.S. Embassy in Stockholm have reason to believe that my ties to Sweden are not strong enough to guarantee that I would return to Sweden, and that these ties are a necessity for the American Embassy to issue a tourist visa. This assessment must be considered arbitrary and unreasonable.

In your response the American Embassy also states: *"Perhaps you can speak with your organization in the US in order for them to help you get a proper work visa."*

I am surprised to your suggestion that I can apply for a Temporary Work Visa or a Business Visa as alternatives to applying for a Tourist Visa, even though my purpose to visit USA as a tourist remains unchanged. Through your suggestion, it seems that the American Embassy in Stockholm encourages deceptiveness. I do not support deception. I believe in truth.

If I apply to visit United States on a Business Visa, will the U.S. State Department's suspicion that I would not return to Sweden decrease? Likewise, if I apply to visit United States on a Temporary Work Visa, will the U.S. Department of State suspicion that I would not return to Sweden diminish? Is the claimed but non-specified reason, in believing that I would not return to Sweden and thereby declining a Tourist Visa, invalidated if I instead apply to enter USA on a Business Visa or a Temporary Work Visa? Does an entry into United States on a Temporary Work Visa strengthen my ties to Sweden compared with an entry to USA on a Tourist Visa? Please explain how the U.S. Department of State can view my social and family ties to Sweden differently, depending on if I enter USA on a Tourist Visa or on a Work Visa. I have already proved beyond any measure of doubt that my financial ties to Sweden are very strong, that I have more than sufficient funds to cover my travel expenditures, and that I additionally receive a monthly income while travelling. Those substantiating documents were presented and accepted together with my application for the Tourist Visa. Perhaps the American Embassy did not bother to read them.

I am also surprised that you refer to some organization with the possessive adjective 'your'. I am not a member on any political or religious organization outside of Sweden. And I have never belonged to or been a member of an organization in USA. If the American Embassy is referring to my Christian faith and my strong affinity to Messianic Judaism, and hence my fond approval for the leadership of the Messianic congregation Kehilath HaDerekh in NYC, then let it be clearly understood that I am not a formal member of this congregation. Among the multitude of Christian churches and congregations in the world that I have contacted in person and through emails, there is only one Christian leadership that has sufficiently earned my trust, and that is Kehilath HaDerekh.

If the American Embassy is suggesting that I can apply for a Temporary Work Visa or a Business Visa to perform work for the congregation Kehilath

HaDerekh, then you will need to understand that I am currently not in a situation to seek and accept fulltime work. I will expound about this in a separate paragraph of this letter.

In regards to Temporary Religious Worker Visas (R1 Visas), I cannot see that I would qualify for this work category if it is required that the applicant is a member of a religious denomination. In Form I-129 R-1 filings, it is prescribed "*The applicant must be a member of a religious denomination...*". I am not a member of any religious denomination. I am excommunicated from all Christian churches and from all Christian denominations in Sweden (<http://www.kyrkor.be>). I am, however, the founder and chairperson of a registered non-profit evangelism project in Sweden named 'Jesus Loves People' which I initiated in 1999. Jesus Loves Sweden (<http://www.helig.com>) is non-denominational.

In regards to other Temporary Employment Visas (H1 or H3), I am uncertain that I would qualify for the H1 work category within a religious organisation. I do not hold a theological degree. I only have a Masters degree in Environmental Health, and my professional trade is as a Public Health Inspector and Environmental Health Officer. Although I have accumulated equivalence of 3½ years of theological schooling without a formal degree, the denominational theological seminaries in Sweden have refused to accept me as a student to their seminaries because I represent and proclaim Biblically correct but politically incorrect values (such as proclaiming that all sexuality morally belongs only within context of marriage between one man and one woman), and also because I am excommunicated from all Christian churches and all Christian denominations in Sweden. In regards to the H3 Temporary Trainee Visa, I might qualify.

Additional reasons why I question the American Embassy's suggestion that I should apply for a Temporary Work Visa instead of a Tourist Visa are:

1) Intention, 2) Incapacity and 3) Illegitimacy.

1) Intention; it is not my intention to work. My intention is to worship, fellowship and study at Kehilath HaDerekh, and to socialise and develop relationships with Christians in other churches. As excommunicated from all Christian churches and Christian denominations in Sweden, in Kehilath HaDerekh congregation in NYC I can at least attain this possibility to worship, study and fellowship.

2) I am currently not in a situation to seek and accept fulltime work. The two reasons for this are: incapacity and illegitimacy. I am incapacitated to work fulltime because of an unhealthy personal imbalance of output and input. For many years I experienced only output, when I worked fulltime, and focused on helping others and serving the Gospel to people in my spare time, while there was a void of personal input into my life. Simply expressed, there was no real input of love into my life. That unhealthy imbalance of giving to others, but not receiving, created symptoms of Exhaustion Syndrome. The excommunication from all Christian churches and all denominations in Sweden, and subsequently the social isolation and the inability to build Christian relationships that the excommunication from all churches imposed, sustained an incapacitation to work. The Social Insurance in Sweden granted disability, and today I receive monthly disability payments from the Swedish Social Insurance. The truthful situation at its core is this; the Swedish government pays disability to me each month because I cannot marry a Christian woman within Sweden. This is the truth. In the distorted eyes of the Swedish government, however, my symptoms of grief and loneliness due to a lack of marital love is classified as a narcissistic personality disorder.

3) To my understanding, working fulltime in USA on a Temporary Work Visa would be illegitimate in relation to the Swedish Social Insurance who pays disability to me each month. It is not my intention to work, at least not fulltime, until I have the capacity to do so through a healthy balance of output

and input. Such a healthy balance can never occur in Sweden, since I am excommunicated from all churches in Sweden, and because it is impossible for me to marry a Christian woman within Sweden ([http://www.kyrkor.be/Inhumane\\_Swedish\\_Pastors.pdf](http://www.kyrkor.be/Inhumane_Swedish_Pastors.pdf)).

The U.S. Customs and Border Protection, who terminated my valid ESTA on August 29 in conjunction with my email inquiry about multiple entries to USA, evaded a specific answer to my inquiry, but instead responded vaguely that ESTA is intended for short trips and stated that "*It appears as if you are attempting to circumvent immigration rules and regulations.*" How would I be "circumventing" immigration regulations? Please explain.

Regards,

Torsten Nenzén  
Address: Magnusvagen 6A  
17731 Jarfalla  
Sweden  
Email: [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)  
Mobile: +46 707 77754  
Skype: blessisrael  
[www.nenzen.net](http://www.nenzen.net)

## CBP solves question by refusing to answer:

**Dear CBP, On September 28, 2011 I submitted a question to both the American Emb...**

Email Address

[torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

Reference Number

111030-000053

Status

Solved

Created

10/30/2011 09:54 AM

Updated

11/08/2011 01:52 PM

Dear CBP,

On September 28, 2011 I submitted a question to both the American Embassy in Sweden, and to CBP. Neither CBP nor the US Embassy in Stockholm has replied.

The formatting of my emailed text to CBP was changed, making the text less readable, and I then resubmitted my original formatting of my text online to CBP through attachment of a pdf.

My letter to CBP and the US Embassy is found here: <http://www.kyrkor.be/USA-Embassy-Stockholm-decision-110912.pdf>

The circumstances surrounding the specifically CBP-related issue of a visitor visa, through the revoking of my ESTA, is described publicly here: [http://www.kyrkor.be/Inhumane\\_Swedish\\_Pastors.pdf](http://www.kyrkor.be/Inhumane_Swedish_Pastors.pdf)

May I again please ask that CBP will take the time, together with intellectual credibility and integrity, and answer the questions that I addressed to CBP and the American Embassy (<http://www.kyrkor.be/USA-Embassy-Stockholm-decision-110912.pdf>).

Thank-you!

I look forward to cooperation with the US Department of State, CBP and the American Embassy, only in the areas where truth and benevolence is conditional and foundational.

Best regards,

Torsten Nenzen

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

**To:** [Westergrenpa@state.gov](mailto:Westergrenpa@state.gov) ; [stockholmirc@state.gov](mailto:stockholmirc@state.gov) ; [customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com](mailto:customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com) ; [ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov)

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 1:37 PM

**Subject:** Inability or unwillingness to answer basic questions.

To: American Embassy in Stockholm  
U.S. Department of State

Dear Consular Officers,

On September 23, 2011 the American Embassy in Sweden received a letter from me with questions relating to the Embassy's denial of issuing a tourist visa, following CBP termination of authorization to visit USA on a valid ESTA. According to notations, neither the American Embassy nor CBP have yet responded, nor attempted to answer the basic questions addressed to you. The questions addressed to CBP were solved by CBP through a decision to not respond. Likewise, the American Embassy in Sweden appears to apply the same tactic as CBP; refusal to respond to questions. Perhaps the American Embassy's tactic to evade inquiry and ignore letters will not succeed in the grand perspective, because as world history has proven repeatedly, in time truth eventually defeats deception and lies.

May I please request that the American Embassy in Sweden will take the time, together with some intellectual credibility and integrity, to answer the questions that I addressed to the American Embassy on September 23, 2011. That letter with questions to the American Embassy is posted here <http://www.kyrkor.be/USA-Embassy-Stockholm-decision-110912.pdf>, and the circumstances surrounding the issue of CBP revoking my valid ESTA and the succeeding USA Embassy's denial of a tourist visa are described publicly here [http://www.kyrkor.be/Inhumane\\_Swedish\\_Pastors.pdf](http://www.kyrkor.be/Inhumane_Swedish_Pastors.pdf).

Apart from the elucidation that my entire letter from September 23, 2011 provided to the American Embassy, my questions and request for explanations from the American Embassy can be summarised in the following two paragraphs.

*If I apply to visit United States on a Business Visa, will the U.S. State Department's suspicion that I would not return to Sweden decrease? Likewise, if I apply to visit United States on a Temporary Work Visa, will the U.S. Department of State suspicion that I would not return to Sweden diminish? Is the claimed but non-specified reason, in believing that I would not return to Sweden and thereby declining a Tourist Visa, invalidated if I instead apply to enter USA on a Business Visa or a Temporary Work Visa? Does an entry into United States on a Temporary Work Visa strengthen my ties to Sweden compared with an entry to USA on a Tourist Visa? Please explain how the U.S. Department of State can view my social and family ties to Sweden differently, depending on if I enter USA on a Tourist Visa or on a Work Visa. I have already proved beyond any measure of doubt that my financial ties to Sweden are very strong, that I have more than sufficient funds to cover my travel expenditures, and that I additionally receive a monthly income while travelling. Those substantiating documents were presented and accepted together with my application for the Tourist Visa. Perhaps the American Embassy did not bother to read them.*

*The U.S. Customs and Border Protection, who terminated my valid ESTA on August 29 in conjunction with my email inquiry about multiple entries to USA, evaded a specific answer to my inquiry, but instead responded vaguely that ESTA is intended for short trips and stated that "It appears as if you are attempting to*

*circumvent immigration rules and regulations." How would I be "circumventing" immigration regulations? Please explain.*

In the areas where truth is conditional and benevolence is foundational, I will cooperate with the American Embassy. However, in areas where deception, immorality and anti-Christian values pervade, as through the Obama Administration, I cannot cooperate but must take a stance for the eternal perspectives.


I kindly implore that the American Embassy and the U.S. Department of State will reconsider its tactic by accepting to answer the questions that I addressed to the American Embassy on September 23, 2011.

Best regards,

Torsten Nenzén  
Address: Magnusvagen 6A  
17731 Jarfalla  
Sweden  
Email: torsten@nenzen.net  
Mobile: +46 707 777754  
Skype: blessisrael  
www.nenzen.net

## **CBP rejects inquiry within 40 minutes by creating status of inquiry as "SOLVED":**

### Inability or unwillingness to answer basic questions.

<p>Email Address <b>torsten@nenzen.net</b></p> <p>Reference Number <b>111109-000067</b></p> <p>Status <b>Solved</b></p> <p>Created <b>11/09/2011 07:40 AM</b></p> <p>Updated <b>11/09/2011 08:18 AM</b></p> <p>File Attachment  <a href="#">USA-Embassy-Stockholm-decision-111109.pdf</a> (1.64 MB)</p>	<p>To: American Embassy in Stockholm U.S. Department of State</p> <p>Dear Consular Officers,</p> <p>On September 23, 2011 the American from me with questions relating to the visa, following CBP termination of aut ESTA. According to notations, neither yet responded, nor attempted to answer you. The questions addressed to CBP v to not respond Likewise the American</p>
--	--

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Stockholm, NIV Info

**To:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 2:14 PM

**Subject:** RE: Inability or unwillingness to answer basic questions.

Dear Mr. Nenzen,

Our records show that you sent us an email on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of September which we replied to the same day. Following that we have not heard from you until now. Following is the answer to the email you sent on the 22<sup>nd</sup>:

Best regards,

Non-immigrant Visa Unit  
Embassy of the United States of America  
Dag Hammarskjölds Väg 31  
SE-115 89 Stockholm Sweden  
Fax +46 8 660 58 79

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

**To:** [Westergrenpa@state.gov](mailto:Westergrenpa@state.gov) ; [stockholmirc@state.gov](mailto:stockholmirc@state.gov) ; [customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com](mailto:customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com) ; [ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov) ; [stknivinfo@state.gov](mailto:stknivinfo@state.gov)

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 3:03 PM

**Subject:** Proof of letters emailed September 22 and 23.

To: American Embassy in Stockholm  
U.S. Department of State

Dear Consular Officers,

One letter was sent to the American Embassy on September **22**, 2011 and another more comprehensive letter was sent to the American Embassy on September **23**, 2011.

Attached to this email are copies with full sender-headers of both of those emails including the bodies of the emails. Only the headers of my two emails are copied beneath here:

Reply-To: <[torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)>  
From: "torsten@nenzen.net" <[nenzen@nenzen.org](mailto:nenzen@nenzen.org)>  
To: <[Westergrenpa@state.gov](mailto:Westergrenpa@state.gov)>,  
<[stockholmirc@state.gov](mailto:stockholmirc@state.gov)>,  
<[customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com](mailto:customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com)>,  
<[ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov)>  
Subject: Requesting a real explanation.  
**Date: Thu, 22 Sep 2011 14:54:28 +0200**  
Organization: Torsten Nenzen  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
boundary="-----\_NextPart\_000\_00AF\_01CC7937.87860050"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Windows Mail 6.0.6002.18197  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.0.6002.18463

Reply-To: <[torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)>  
From: "torsten@nenzen.net" <[nenzen@nenzen.org](mailto:nenzen@nenzen.org)>  
To: <[stockholmirc@state.gov](mailto:stockholmirc@state.gov)>,  
<[customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com](mailto:customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com)>,  
<[ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov)>

Subject: U.S. State Department decision -- arbitrary and unreasonable?  
**Date: Fri, 23 Sep 2011 03:53:02 +0200**  
Organization: Torsten Nenzen  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;  
boundary="-----\_NextPart\_000\_008B\_01CC79A4.4AF920A0"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Windows Mail 6.0.6002.18197  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.0.6002.18463

Please confirm that you received both the above emails from September 22 and 23, 2011. Please also confirm that you have received this email here, including the two attached files: 1) a pdf of the September 22 and 23 letters, and 2) a jpg of my projected monthly income for the months Sept, Oct, Nov, and Dec of 2011.

Best regards,

Torsten Nenzén

Address: Magnusvagen 6A  
17731 Jarfalla  
Sweden  
Email: torsten@nenzen.net  
Mobile: +46 707 777754  
Skype: blessisrael  
www.nenzen.net

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Stockholm, NIV Info](#)

**To:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 3:32 PM

**Subject:** RE: Proof of letters emailed September 22 and 23.

Hello,

This confirms that we have received your emails of Sept. 22nd and 23rd.

Your application has been carefully examined and no further consideration can be given. There is no review or appeal of a decision.

As explained at your visa interview and in the refusal letter, Your visa application was refused under Section 214(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, which states: Every alien shall be presumed to be an immigrant until he establishes to the satisfaction of the consular officer, at the time of application for admission, that he is entitled to a nonimmigrant status...

Each individual is free to submit a new application at any time and would be interviewed by another officer however unless you have new information, documentation, or circumstances, it is likely you will have the same result.

Please be advised that burden of proof is on the applicant to demonstrate evidence of strong social and economic ties abroad.

This site explains visa refusals and may be helpful as a reference:  
[http://stockholm.usembassy.gov/consulate/faqvisa\\_refusals.html](http://stockholm.usembassy.gov/consulate/faqvisa_refusals.html)

Thank you,

Consular Section  
U.S. Embassy, Stockholm



Nonimmigrant Visa Unit  
Embassy of the United States of America  
Dag Hammarskjölds Väg 31  
SE-115 89 Stockholm Sweden

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)

**To:** [Westergrenpa@state.gov](mailto:Westergrenpa@state.gov) ; [stockholmirc@state.gov](mailto:stockholmirc@state.gov) ; [customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com](mailto:customs@customs-mail.custhelp.com) ; [ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:ESTA-SYS-SUPRT@cbp.dhs.gov) ; [stknivinfo@state.gov](mailto:stknivinfo@state.gov)

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 4:31 PM

**Subject:** US Embassy's credibility diminishing incrementally.

To: American Embassy in Stockholm  
U.S. Department of State

Dear Consular Officers,

The U.S. Embassy's credibility diminished incrementally by each of your responses.

Firstly, you pretended that the Embassy had never received an email from me on September 23.

Secondly, when I had provided undisputable evidence to my sent email, you respond within 29 minutes with a useless standard letter with no provision of answers to my inquiries.

From the careless visa interview-officer who very probably never read my attached documents in the visa application prior to her unsubstantiated decision to refuse a tourist visa following the 5-minute interview which she focused on finances, to the sloppy/dishonest email administration of the Embassy that denies existence of correspondence until proven wrong, to a speedy standard email response indicating that the Embassy has did bother to read the questions asked, it becomes quite clear that the American Embassy in Sweden lacks intellectual credibility and integrity.

Please answer the questions that I addressed to the American Embassy on September 23, 2011.

*If I apply to visit United States on a Business Visa, will the U.S. State Department's suspicion that I would not return to Sweden decrease? Likewise, if I apply to visit United States on a Temporary Work Visa, will the U.S. Department of State suspicion that I would not return to Sweden diminish? Is the claimed but non-specified reason, in believing that I would not return to Sweden and thereby declining a Tourist Visa, invalidated if I instead apply to enter USA on a Business Visa or a Temporary Work Visa? Does an entry into United States on a Temporary Work Visa strengthen my ties to Sweden compared with an entry to USA on a Tourist Visa? Please explain how the U.S. Department of State can view my social and family ties to Sweden differently, depending on if I enter USA on a Tourist Visa or on a Work Visa. I have already proved beyond any measure of doubt that my financial ties to Sweden are very strong, that I have more than sufficient funds to cover my travel expenditures, and that I additionally receive a monthly income while travelling. Those substantiating documents were presented and accepted together with my application for the Tourist Visa. Perhaps the American Embassy did not bother to read them.*

*The U.S. Customs and Border Protection, who terminated my valid ESTA on August 29 in conjunction with my email inquiry about multiple entries to USA, evaded a specific answer to my inquiry, but instead responded vaguely that ESTA is intended for short trips and stated that "It appears as if you are attempting to circumvent immigration rules and regulations." How would I be "circumventing" immigration regulations? Please explain.*

Regards,

Torsten Nenzén  
Address: Magnusvagen 6A  
17731 Jarfalla  
Sweden  
Email: [torsten@nenzen.net](mailto:torsten@nenzen.net)  
Mobile: +46 707 777754

Skype: blessisrael  
www.nenzen.net